

SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SUMS OF COMMUTATORS I

N.J. KALTON

ABSTRACT.

Suppose $\mathcal{J}$ is a two-sided quasi-Banach ideal of compact operators on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We show that an operator $T \in \mathcal{J}$ can be expressed as finite linear combination of commutators $[A, B]$ where $A \in \mathcal{J}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only its eigenvalues (λ_n) (arranged in decreasing order of absolute value, repeated according to algebraic multiplicity and augmented by zeros if necessary) satisfy the condition that the diagonal operator $\text{diag} \{ \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \}$ is a member of $\mathcal{J}$. This answers (for quasi-Banach ideals) a question raised by Dykema, Figiel, Weiss and Wodzicki.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a (two-sided) ideal contained in the ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{H}$. We define the *commutator subspace* $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ to be the closed linear span of commutators $[A, B] = AB - BA$ where $A \in \mathcal{J}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It has been shown by Dykema, Figiel, Weiss and Wodzicki in [3] that if $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are any two ideals then the linear span of commutators of the form $[A_1, A_2]$ where $A_j \in \mathcal{I}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ coincides with the commutator subspace $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ where $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2$.

Pearcy and Topping ([7], cf. [2]) showed that for the Schatten ideal $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C}_p$ when $p > 1$, we have $\text{Com } \mathcal{C}_p = \mathcal{C}_p$. They then raised the question whether $\text{Com } \mathcal{C}_1 = \{T \in \mathcal{C}_1 : \text{tr } T = 0\}$. This question was resolved negatively by Weiss [8], [9]. However, Anderson [1] showed that in the case $p < 1$ we have $\text{Com } \mathcal{C}_p = \{T \in \mathcal{C}_p : \text{tr } T = 0\}$.

In [6] a complete characterization of $\text{Com } \mathcal{C}_1$ was obtained. It was shown that, in the case when $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C}_1$ is the trace-class, then $T \in \text{Com } \mathcal{C}_1$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and its eigenvalues $(\lambda_n(T))_{n=1}^\infty$, counted according to algebraic multiplicity and

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B10, 47B47, 47D50.

The author was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9500125

arranged in some order satisfying that $(|\lambda_n(T)|)_{n=1}^\infty$ is decreasing, satisfies the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n|}{n} < \infty.$$

If the eigenvalue set of T is finite one may extend the sequence $\lambda_n(T)$ by including infinitely many zeroes. This extended earlier partial results in [8] and [9].

Since, for any ideal $\mathcal{J}$, $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ is a self-adjoint subspace it is clear that if $T = H + iK$ is split into hermitian and skew-hermitian parts then $T \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $H \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ and $K \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$. Thus to characterize $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ it is necessary only to characterize the hermitian operators in $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$. In particular, the result above shows that if $T \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and the condition (1.1) is equivalent to the pair of condition that H and K each satisfy (1.1).

Recently in [3] a very general approach was developed which is applicable to any ideal. It was shown that for any ideal $\mathcal{J}$ an hermitian operator $H \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $H \in \mathcal{J}$ and the diagonal operator $\text{diag } \{\frac{1}{n}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}$ where again $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(H)$ is the eigenvalue sequence as above. Although this yields an explicit test for membership in $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ by the process of splitting into hermitian and skew-hermitian parts, it leaves open the question whether same characterization in terms of eigenvalues extends to all operators in $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$, as in the case of the trace-class.

The aim of this paper is to show that for a fairly broad class of “nice” ideals the answer to this question is positive. In a separate note, in collaboration with Ken Dykema [4], we show that the answer is in general negative.

The condition we impose on an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is that it is *geometrically stable*. This means that if a diagonal operator $\text{diag } \{s_1, s_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{J}$ where $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ then we also have $\text{diag } \{u_1, u_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{J}$ where $u_n = (s_1 \dots s_n)^{1/n}$. For any Banach or quasi-Banach ideal (i.e. an ideal equipped with an appropriate ideal quasi-norm) this condition is automatic.

Let us note that our results do depend in an essential way on the results of [3], in that we use their result to reduce the problem to discussion of an operator of the form $T = H + iK$ where H, K are hermitian.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Ken Dykema for valuable discussions concerning the results of [3] and this problem. This work was done on a visit to Odense University and the author would like to thank Niels Nielsen and the Department of Mathematics for their hospitality.

2. THE KEY RESULTS

Let T be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $s_n = s_n(T)$, for $n \geq 1$ the singular values of T . It will be convenient to define s_n for n not an integer by $s_n = s_{[n]+1}$. With this notation we have the inequalities $s_n(S+T) \leq s_{n/2}(S) + s_{n/2}(T)$ and $s_n(ST) \leq s_{n/2}(S)s_{n/2}(T)$.

If T is compact we denote by $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(T)$ the eigenvalues of T repeated according to algebraic multiplicity and arranged in decreasing order of absolute value (this arrangement is not unique, so we require some selection to be made). Note that $s_n(T) = |\lambda_n(|T|)|$.

Let us suppose that $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any function which vanishes on a neighborhood of the origin. Then we can define a functional $\hat{f} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula:

$$\hat{f}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\lambda_n).$$

Lemma 2.1. (1) *If f is continuous then $\hat{f}$ is continuous.*

(2) *If f is a Borel function then $\hat{f}$ is a Borel function.*

(3) *If f is continuous, real-valued and subharmonic then $\hat{f}$ is plurisubharmonic on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. if $S, T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ then*

$$\hat{f}(S) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{f}(S + e^{i\theta}T) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Proof. (1) Suppose $f(z)$ vanishes for $|z| \leq \delta$ where $\delta > 0$. If $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ then suppose m is the least integer such that $|\lambda_m(T)| < \delta$. Pick $\eta < \delta$ such that $|\lambda_m(T)| < \eta$ and if $m \geq 2$ then $\eta < |\lambda_{m-1}(T)|$. Now if T_n is a sequence of compact operators with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n - T\| = 0$ then by results in [5] (see p.14, 18) we can find n_0 so that an ordering $(\lambda'_k(T_n))_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of the eigenvalues of T_n such that $|\lambda'_k(T_n)| < \eta$ for $n \geq n_0$ and $k \geq m$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda'_k(T_n) = \lambda_k(T)$ if $k < m$. It follows easily that $\hat{f}(T_n) \rightarrow \hat{f}(T)$.

(2) Observe that the set of f such that $\hat{f}$ is Borel is closed under pointwise convergence of sequences on $\mathbb{C}$. (2) follows then from (1).

(3) By (1) $\hat{f}$ is continuous and it therefore suffices to show that

$$\hat{f}(S) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{f}(S + e^{i\theta}T) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},$$

for two finite rank operators S, T . Hence we can suppose S, T are actually $n \times n$ matrices for some n . Then the conclusion is immediate from Proposition 5.2 of [6]. $\square$

We now introduce certain functionals of the above type. We define

$$\nu(T) = \sum_{|\lambda_n| \geq 1} 1, \quad \mu(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log_+ |\lambda_n|, \quad \text{and } \chi(T) = \sum_{|\lambda_n| \geq 1} \lambda_n.$$

By the above lemma ν and χ are Borel functions while μ is continuous. If $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ the eigenvalues of $|T|$ correspond to the singular values of T . Notice that if T is normal then $\nu(T) = \nu(|T|)$.

Notice also that each of the functionals μ, ν and χ are “disjointly additive” in the sense that $\mu(S \oplus T) = \mu(S) + \mu(T)$ and etc. Here $S \oplus T$ represents the operator defined on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ by $S \oplus T(x, y) = (Sx, Ty)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ we have $0 \leq \mu(T) \leq \mu(|T|)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\nu(T) = n$. Then $\mu(T) = \log |\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n|^{1/n} \leq \log |s_1 \dots s_n|^{1/n} \leq \mu(|T|)$ (see Gohberg-Krein p.37). $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *If $S, T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ then $\nu(|S + T|) \leq \nu(2|S|) + \nu(2|T|)$. In particular if $T = H + iK$ with H, K hermitian then $\nu(H) \leq 2\nu(|T|)$.*

Proof. These follow easily from the Weyl inequalities, that $s_{m+n-1}(S + T) \leq s_m(S) + s_n(T)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. (1) *If T is a compact normal operator with $T = H + iK$ for H, K hermitian then $|\chi(H) - \Re \chi(T)| \leq \nu(T)$.*

(2) *If T is any compact operator and $|\alpha| \leq 1$ then $|\alpha \chi(T) - \chi(\alpha T)| \leq \nu(T)$.*

(3) *If $(T_j)_{j=1}^n$ are compact normal operators with $T_1 + \dots + T_n = 0$ then*

$$|\chi(T_1) + \dots + \chi(T_n)| \leq (n-1)(\nu(T_1) + \dots + \nu(T_n)).$$

Proof. (1) We have

$$\chi(H) - \Re \chi(T) = \sum_{\Re \lambda_n < 1 \leq |\lambda_n|} \Re \lambda_n.$$

The result follows immediately.

(2) We notice that

$$\alpha \chi(T) - \chi(\alpha T) = \alpha \sum_{1 \leq |\lambda_n| \leq \alpha^{-1}} \lambda_n$$

whence the result follows.

(3) (Compare [3], Lemma 2.2.) Since each T_j is normal there exist self-adjoint projections P_j of rank $\nu(T_j)$ so that $\chi(T_j) = \text{tr}(P_j T_j P_j)$ and $\|P_j^\perp T_j P_j^\perp\| < 1$. Let Q be a self-adjoint projection of rank $d \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(T_j)$ whose range includes the range of each P_j . Then

$$\text{tr}(QT_jQ) = \text{tr}(P_j T_j P_j) + \text{tr}((Q - P_j)T_j(Q - P_j))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi(T_j) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q - P_j)T_j(Q - P_j) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (d - \nu(T_j)) \\ &\leq (n - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(T_j). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Since χ is not a continuous function on $\mathcal{K}(H)$ we will now correct it to make a continuous function. To this end we fix a nondecreasing C^∞ -function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$, and $\varphi(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 1$. We define

$$\chi_\varphi(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi(\log \lambda_n)$$

where $\varphi(-\infty) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, χ_φ is continuous.

Lemma 2.5. *For any compact operator T , we have $|\chi(T) - \chi_\varphi(T)| \leq e\nu(T)$.*

Proof. $\chi(T) - \chi_\varphi(T) = \sum_{|\lambda_n(T)| \geq 1} (1 - \varphi(\log |\lambda_n|)) \lambda_n$. Then result follows immediately. $\square$

Now we define a second C^2 -function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the properties that $\psi(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$ and $\psi''(x) = e^x(|\varphi''(x)| + 2|\varphi'(x)|)$ for $x \geq 0$. ψ is an increasing convex function, which is linear for $x \geq 1$. Thus there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\psi(x) \leq C_1 \max(x, 0)$ for all x .

We now proof a crucial lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $h(0) = 0$ and $h(z) = \psi(\log |z|) - x\varphi(\log |z|)$ for $z \neq 0$. Then h is subharmonic.*

Proof. Note that h vanishes on a neighborhood of the origin. In fact h is C^2 so we check $\nabla^2 h$. It is easy to check that (for $z \neq 0$),

$$\nabla^2(\psi(\log |z|)) = |z|^{-2} \psi''(\log |z|) = |z|^{-1} (|\varphi''(\log |z|)| + 2|\varphi'(\log |z|)|).$$

We also have

$$\nabla^2(x\varphi(\log|z|)) = 2\frac{x}{|z|^2}\varphi'(\log|z|) + \frac{x}{|z|^2}\varphi''(\log|z|).$$

Hence $\nabla^2 h \geq 0$. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose $T = H + iK$ where $H = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$ and $K = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$. Then there is a constant C_2 such that*

$$|\chi(H) - \Re\chi(T)| \leq C_2\mu(2|T|)$$

and

$$|\chi(K) - \Im\chi(T)| \leq C_2\mu(2|T|).$$

Proof. For convenience we will define the function $F(z) = \frac{1}{2}(T + zT^*)$. For $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, we have:

$$F(e^{i\theta}) - \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{2}H - i\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{2}K = 0.$$

Note that each operator is normal and we also have from Lemma 2.3 that $\nu(|F(e^{i\theta})|) \leq 2\nu(|T|)$ and $\nu(H), \nu(K) \leq 2\nu(|T|)$. Appealing to Lemma 2.4 (3),

$$|\chi(F(e^{i\theta})) - \chi(\frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{2}H) - \chi(i\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{2}K)| \leq 12\nu(|T|).$$

On the other hand Lemma 2.4 (2) gives that

$$|\chi(\frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{2}H) - \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{2}\chi(H)| \leq \nu(H) \leq 2\nu(|T|)$$

and

$$|\chi(i\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{2}K) - i\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{2}\chi(K)| \leq 2\nu(|T|).$$

Hence

$$|\chi(F(e^{i\theta})) - \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{2}\chi(H) - i\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{2}\chi(K)| \leq 16\nu(|T|).$$

Integrating over θ then gives

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}(\chi(H) + i\chi(K)) \right| \leq 16\nu(|T|).$$

Taking real parts we have, in particular,

$$\chi(H) \leq 2\Re \int_0^{2\pi} \chi((F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + 32\nu(|T|).$$

We now replace χ by the smoother function χ_φ , and using Lemma 2.5 with $e < 3$:

$$\chi(H) \leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \Re \chi_\varphi(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + 44\nu(|T|).$$

Let $g(z) = \psi(\log |z|)$ for $z \neq 0$ and $g(0) = 0$. For any operator S we can write

$$\Re \chi_\varphi(S) = \hat{g}(S) - \hat{h}(S).$$

Note that $0 \leq \hat{g}(S) \leq C_1 \mu(S)$. Thus

$$\chi(H) \leq 2C_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \mu(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{h}(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + 44\nu(|T|).$$

Now since h is subharmonic, the functional $\hat{h}$ is plurisubharmonic by Lemma 2.1. Note that $\hat{h}(F(0)) = \hat{h}(T/2) = \hat{g}(T/2) - \Re \chi_\varphi(T/2)$. Hence, by 2.4(2) and 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{h}(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\geq 2\hat{g}(T/2) - 2\Re \chi_\varphi(T/2) \\ &\geq -2\Re \chi(T/2) - 6\nu(T) \\ &\geq -\Re \chi(T) - 8\nu(T). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\chi(H) \leq 2C_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \mu(F(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \Re \chi(T) + 44\nu(|T|) + 8\nu(T).$$

Note that for every n we have $s_n(F(e^{i\theta})) \leq s_{n/2}(T)$ so that $\mu(F(e^{i\theta})) \leq 2\mu(|T|)$.

We thus can simplify, using Lemma 2.2, to

$$\chi(H) \leq \Re \chi(T) + 4C_1 \mu(|T|) + 44\nu(|T|) + 8\nu(T).$$

Now observe that $\nu(T) \leq (\log 2)^{-1} \mu(2T)$ so that for a suitable constant C_2 we have

$$\chi(H) \leq \Re \chi(T) + C_2 \mu(2|T|).$$

We now consider $-T$, iT and $-iT$ in place of T and the theorem follows. $\square$

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

Now suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is a two-sided ideal contained in $\mathcal{K}(H)$. We denote by $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ the linear subspace of $\mathcal{J}$ generated by all operators of the form $[S, T] = ST - TS$ for $T \in \mathcal{J}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It is shown in [3] that if $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals such that $\mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{J}$ then $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ coincides with the linear span of all $[S, T]$ where $S \in \mathcal{I}_1$ and $T \in \mathcal{I}_2$. It is clear that if $T = H + iK$ with H, K hermitian then $T \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $H, K \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$. One of the main results of [3] characterizes the hermitian operators in $\text{Com } \mathcal{J}$. We now state this result together with a useful rewording.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Let N be a normal operator in $\mathcal{J}$, and let $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(N)$. Then the following conditions on N are equivalent:*

- (1) $N \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$.
- (2) $\text{diag } \{\frac{1}{n}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)\} \in \mathcal{J}$.
- (3) There exists $T \in \mathcal{J}$ so that $\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n(T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) There exists $T \in \mathcal{J}$ such that for all $\alpha > 0$ we have $|\chi(\alpha N)| \leq \nu(\alpha|T|)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) for hermitian operators is proved in [3].

We will first establish the equivalence of (2),(3) and (4).

(3) clearly implies (2). We now check that (2) implies (3). For $m \geq n$ we have

$$\frac{1}{m}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m| \leq \max(\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n|, s_n(N)).$$

Let $T = \text{diag } \{u_n\}$ where $u_n = \max_{m \geq n} \frac{1}{m}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m|$. Then the above shows that $T \in \mathcal{J}$ and of course $\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n(T)$.

Next we show (3) implies (4). We can assume that T in (3) satisfies $s_n(T) \geq s_n(N)$ for every n . Then if $\alpha^{-1} > s_1(T)$ we have $\chi(\alpha N) = 0$ and $\nu(T) = 0$. Otherwise let n be the largest integer such $s_n(T) \geq \alpha^{-1}$. Then $\nu(\alpha T) = n$. Now suppose m is the largest integer so that $s_m(N) \geq \alpha^{-1}$. Then $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $\chi(\alpha N) = \alpha(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m)$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi(\alpha N)| &\leq \alpha|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1}| + n + 1 \\ &\leq (n+1)\alpha s_{n+1}(T) + n + 1 \\ &\leq 2(n+1) \leq 4\nu(\alpha T). \end{aligned}$$

This yields (4) with T replaced by $T \oplus T \oplus T \oplus T$.

Now assume we have (4); we may assume T is positive. We again assume $s_n = s_n(T) \geq s_n(N)$ for all n . Now for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n + \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{|\lambda_k| > s_n} \lambda_k \right|.$$

Suppose $\sigma > s_n$ is smaller than any $|\lambda_k| > s_n$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n + \frac{\sigma}{n}|\chi(\sigma^{-1}N)|.$$

However $|\chi(\sigma^{-1}N)| \leq \nu(\sigma^{-1}T) < n$, so that

$$\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n + \sigma.$$

Letting σ tend to s_n yields

$$\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n| \leq 2s_n$$

so that (3) holds if T is replaced by $2T$.

Finally if $N = H + iK$ where H, K are hermitian then we have by Lemma 2.4(1) that $|\chi(\alpha H) - \Re \chi(\alpha N)|, |\chi(\alpha K) - \Im \chi(\alpha N)| \leq \nu(\alpha T)$. Hence N satisfies (4) if and only if both H and K satisfy (4). As remarked above, the results of [3] imply that for hermitian operators (1) and (2) and hence also (1) and (4) are equivalent. Thus (1) and (4) are also equivalent for normal operators. $\square$

Now let us introduce a stability condition on the ideal $\mathcal{J}$. We will say that $\mathcal{J}$ is *geometrically stable* if whenever $\text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots$ then $\text{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{J}$ where $t_n = (s_1 \dots s_n)^{1/n}$.

We say that $\mathcal{J}$ of compact operators is a quasi-Banach ideal (or Schatten ideal) if it can be equipped with a complete quasi-norm $T \rightarrow \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ so that we have the ideal property $\|ATB\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq \|A\|_{\infty} \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \|B\|_{\infty}$ whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Here we denote the operator norm of A by $\|A\|_{\infty}$.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\mathcal{J}$ is a quasi-Banach ideal then $\mathcal{J}$ is geometrically stable.*

Proof. We can assume that for some $0 < r \leq 1$ that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is an r -norm i.e. $\|S + T\|_{\mathcal{J}}^r \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{J}}^r + \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}^r$. Suppose $D = \text{diag}(s_n) \in \mathcal{J}$, where $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots$. We recall our convention that $s_r = s_{[r]+1}$ where $r > 0$ is not an integer. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\|\text{diag}(s_{n/2^k})\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq 2^{k/r} \|D\|_{\mathcal{J}}$. Pick $\theta > k/r$. Then by completeness the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\theta k} \text{diag}(s_{n/2^k})$ converges in $\mathcal{J}$. Thus $\text{diag}(u_n) \in \mathcal{J}$ where $u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\theta k} s_{n/2^k}$. In fact $\|\text{diag}(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(r\theta-k)})^{1/r} \|D\|_{\mathcal{J}}$. Now suppose $1 \leq j \leq n$. Pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $2^{-k}n \leq j \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-k}n$. Then

$$s_j \leq s_{n/2^k} \leq 2^{k\theta} u_n \leq \left(\frac{2n}{j}\right)^{\theta} u_n.$$

Hence

$$t_n \leq 2^{\theta} n^{\theta} (n!)^{-\theta/n} u_n \leq C u_n$$

for some constant C . This implies $\text{diag}(t_n) \in \mathcal{J}$ and further that $\|\text{diag}(t_n)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq C \|D\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ for some constant C depending only on r . $\square$

We now prove the main result of this note, which, for the special case of geometrically stable ideals, answers positively a question posed in [3]. It should be noted that in [4] it is shown that for singly generated ideals geometric stability is a necessary and sufficient condition for the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.3. Thus in general (1) and (2) are not equivalent.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{J}$ is a geometrically stable ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ (in particular this hold if $\mathcal{J}$ is a quasi-Banach ideal.) Let $S \in \mathcal{J}$ and let $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(S)$. Then the following conditions on S are equivalent:*

- (1) $S \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$.
- (2) $\text{diag} \{ \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) \} \in \mathcal{J}$.
- (3) There exists $T \in \mathcal{J}$ so that $\frac{1}{n}|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n| \leq s_n(T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) There exists $T \in \mathcal{J}$ such that for all $\alpha > 0$ we have $|\chi(\alpha S)| \leq \nu(\alpha|T|)$.
- (5) There exists $T \in \mathcal{J}$ such that for all $\alpha > 0$ we have $|\chi(\alpha S)| \leq \mu(\alpha|T|)$.

Proof. We first show that $N = \text{diag}(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{J}$. Indeed we have $|\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n| \leq s_1 \dots s_n$ where $s_n = s_n(S)$. Thus $|\lambda_n| \leq t_n = (s_1 \dots s_n)^{1/n}$ so that $N \in \mathcal{J}$ by geometric stability. Hence (2),(3) and (4) are equivalent by Theorem 3.1.

It is clear that (4) implies (5) (replace T by eT). Let us prove that (5) implies (3). We can suppose that $s_n = s_n(T) \geq s_n(S)$ for all n , and let $t_n = (s_1 \dots s_n)^{1/n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n| &\leq \left| \sum_{|\lambda_k| \geq s_n} \lambda_k \right| + ns_n \\
&\leq s_n |\chi(s_n^{-1}S)| + ns_n \\
&\leq s_n \mu(s_n^{-1}|T|) + ns_n \\
&\leq s_n \sum_{k=1}^n \log(s_k/s_n) + ns_n \\
&\leq ns_n \log(t_n/s_n) + ns_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence since $\log x \leq x$ for all $x \geq 1$,

$$\frac{|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n|}{n} \leq t_n + s_n$$

and by the geometric stability of the ideal we have (3).

To conclude the proof we establish equivalence of (1) with (5). To this end note that if $S = H + iK$ with H, K hermitian then by Theorem 2.7, there is a constant C_2 so that, for $\alpha > 0$,

$$|\Re \chi(\alpha S) - \chi(\alpha H)|, |\Im \chi(\alpha S) - \chi(\alpha K)| \leq C_2 \mu(2\alpha|S|).$$

Now suppose first that H, K both satisfy (5) so that there are operators $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ with $|\chi(\alpha H)| \leq \mu(\alpha T_1)$ and $|\chi(\alpha K)| \leq \mu(\alpha T_2)$ for $\alpha > 0$. Pick an integer $n > 2C_2$ and consider the operator $W = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus V$ where V is the direct sum of n copies of $2|S|$. Then $|\chi(\alpha S)| \leq \mu(\alpha W)$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Conversely if S satisfies (5) for an appropriate operator T then H and K satisfy (5) for T replaced by $T \oplus V$. It follows S satisfies (5) if and only if both H and K satisfy (5). Now if $S \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ then $H, K \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ so that by Theorem 3.1 H, K satisfy (2)-(4) and hence also (5). Therefore (1) implies (5).

Conversely if (5) holds for S , then both H, K satisfy (5) and hence also (2)-(4); so by Theorem 3.1, $H, K \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ and hence $S \in \text{Com } \mathcal{J}$ i.e. (5) implies (1). $\square$

REFERENCES

1. J.H. Anderson, *Commutators in ideals of trace-class operators, II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 373–378.
2. J.H. Anderson and L.N. Vaserstein, *Commutators in ideals of trace-class operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 345–372.
3. K.J. Dykema, T. Figiel, G. Weiss and M. Wodzicki, *The commutator structure of operator ideals*, preprint (1997).
4. K.J. Dykema and N.J. Kalton, *Spectral characterization of sums of commutators II*, preprint (1997).
5. I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969.
6. N.J. Kalton, *Trace-class operators and commutators*, J. Functional Analysis **86** (1989), 41–74.
7. C.M. Pearcy and D. Topping, *On commutators of ideals of compact operators*, Michigan Math. J. **18** (1971), 247–252.
8. G. Weiss, *Commutators of Hilbert-Schmidt operators II*, Integral Eqns. Operator Theory **3** (1980), 574–600.
9. G. Weiss, *Commutators of Hilbert-Schmidt operators I*, Integral Eqns. Operator Theory **9** (1986), 877–892.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO. 65211, U.S.A.

E-mail address: nigel@math.missouri.edu